

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,613	02/11/2002	Cory Watkins	1552-BZ-1	6299	
75	90 03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
DICKE BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC			PHAM, HOA Q		
ATTN John Vas 100 South Fifth			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 2250			2877		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Q
	10/073,613	WATKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Hoa Q. Pham	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this comonED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplication may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet(s) including the correct 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine sheet sheet sheet sheet sheet sheet sheet	r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/03.	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/073,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse on January 07, 2004.

Drawings

2. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

With respect to the present invention, the drawing is missing. Applicant is required to submit a supplemental drawing in the next response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kerstens et al (5,248,876).

Regarding claim 2, Kerstens (of record) discloses a confocal imaging system comprises steps of: (a) scanning a surface using optics and a camera capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/073,613 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

determining light intensity for each pixel viewed (column 8, lines 26-34); (b) measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a first elevation (column 7, lines 49-54); (c) measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a second elevation (column 7, lines 49-54); and (d) determining the elevation of the surface using a Gaussian curve based upon the light intensities measured at the first and second elevations at each pixel (column 7, lines 55-66).

Regarding claim 3, Kerstens et al teaches steps of: (e) scanning at least particular portions of a surface believed to contain protrusions extending outward from the surface using optics and a camera capable of determining light intensity for each pixel viewed (column 8, lines 26-34); (f) measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a third elevation (col. 2, lines 30-41); (g) measuring the light intensity at each pixel at a fourth elevation (col. 2, lines 30-41); and (h) determining the elevation of the protrusions using a Gaussian curve based upon the light intensities measured at the third and fourth elevations at each pixel (col. 7, lines 55-66).

Regarding claim 4, see figure 8 and col. 8, lines 38-65 of Kerstens et al for determining the height of a protrusion by calculating the different between the elevation of a protrusion and the elevation of the surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,613

Art Unit: 2877

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerstens et al in view of McCarthy et al (4,802,748) (of record).

Kerstens et al discloses all the features of claim 5 except that the beam splitter is a pellicle beam splitter. However, such a feature is known in the art as taught by McCarthy et al. McCarthy et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a confocal scanning microscope in which the pellicle beam splitter is used (col. 3, lines 43-45). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the beam splitter of Kerstens et al by a pellicle beam splitter as taught by McCarthy et al because the pellicle beam splitter is extremely thin so as not to double the image or introduce astigmatism as suggested by McCarthy (column 3, lines 43-45).

Double Patenting

7. Claims 1-5 of this application conflict with claims 1-5 of Application No. 10/073,656. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,613

Art Unit: 2877

8. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 9. Claims 2-5 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 2-5 of copending Application No. 10/073,656. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 10. Claims 2-5 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 3-6 of copending Application No. 10/196,741. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lam (5,408,294) discloses a 3D printer in which the pellicle beam splitter is known to use.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2877

2426. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM to 6 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

HP

February 9, 2004